# FEDERAL STANDARD ABSTRACT TITLE NEWS

Issue #87 January 2012

### Transfer Tax on the Acquisition of a Controlling Interest

On November 18, 2011 the NYS Dept. of Taxation and Finance issued a bulletin clarifying how the transfer tax applies in three instances of acquisition of a controlling interest in an entity holding a real estate interest. First, if the mortgage debt exceeds the fair market value of the property, the tax is nonetheless calculated based on the fair market value. Second, if the mortgage lender pays the transfer tax upon the acquisition of a controlling interest in a real estate holding entity, the subsequent mortgage foreclose will be exempt of transfer taxes as a mere organizational change. Third. acquisition of a controlling interest in an entity with an option or contract to purchase real estate triggers the transfer tax because the option or contract is considered an interest in real property for the purposes of the transfer tax. The tax here: bulletin can be reviewed http://www.tax.ny.gov/pubs\_and\_bulls/tg\_ bulletins/rett/controlling interest.htm

## Mansion Tax in the Purchase of Multiple Apartments

In a second bulletin issued on November 18, 2011, the Dept. of Taxation and Finance issued guidance applicability of the additional transfer tax (i.e. the "mansion tax") on the purchase of multiple residential coop or condo units. "Unless the condominium or cooperative units are combined or are used in conjunction with one another, they are treated separately in determining the additional tax." Hence, it does not matter how many residential coops and condos are bought in one transaction. What matters is whether each one of them individually has a value of at least one million and therefore triggers the tax. Aggregation among units sold simultaneously only occurs if the two units have been combined to be used as one. The tax bulletin can be reviewed here:

http://www.tax.ny.gov/pubs\_and\_bulls/tg\_bulletins/rett/additional\_rett.htm

### The New York Standard Endorsement and NYC Emergency Repair Liens

In an unusual opinion, the Supreme Court, Kings County, ruled that the New York Standard Endorsement attached to every title insurance policy in New York covers losses for NYC emergency repair liens. The NY Standard Endorsement exists to amend the terms of the policy with respect to mechanics liens because the law on mechanics liens in New York is substantially different from that of other states. In New York, unlike most states, bona fide purchasers and lenders take priority over mechanics liens appearing on the record post-closing, even if they relate to work performed before the transaction To contrast, the rule in New Jersey is that mechanics liens filed postclosing but relating to pre-closing work take priority, unless the closing occurs within 45 days of the filing of a Notice of Settlement. Hence the reason why the pre-closing filing of a Notice of Settlement is of utmost importance in New Jersey.

The NY Standard Endorsement amends the terms of the ALTA to provide more coverage over "statutory liens" to the insured. Contrary to the intent of the endorsement, the court interpreted "statutory liens" to include emergency repairs liens, even though Schedule B of the title policy specifically excepted unrecorded emergency repairs liens. 380 Kings Hwy, LLC v. Fidelity Nat'l Title Ins. Co., 33 Misc.3d 1233(A) (Kings Cty. Sup. Ct., Dec. 13, 2011).

#### **Constructive Trust**

The remedy of constructive trust is well-known to real estate practitioners: It is one of the few remedies that recovers the specific real property rather than result in monetary relief. Constructive trust is most typically imposed among family and friends, for example in those instances where a parent transfers property to someone to administer for the benefit of the transferor's child, or when someone puts down the "equity" in the purchase of

property but allows someone else to appear in title, with the unwritten understanding that the real property "in reality" belongs to the party that put down the purchase price.

To establish a constructive trust a plaintiff must show (a) a confidential or fiduciary relationship (b) a promise, (c) transfer of property in reliance thereon, and (d) unjust enrichment. In an important decision, the Supreme Court, Second Department, ruled that the element of "transfer may be satisfied where, as here, the party seeking to impose the trust has no prior interest in the property but does contribute funds, time or effort to the property in reliance on a promise to share in some interest in it." Augur v. Augur, 933 N.Y.S.2d 454, 2011 N.Y. Slip Op. 08702 (2d Dept., Dec. 1, 2011).

#### **DISCLAIMERS**

These materials have been prepared by Federal Standard Abstract for informational purposes only and should not be considered professional or legal advice. Readers should not act upon this information without seeking independent professional or legal counsel.

The information provided in this newsletter is obtained from sources which Federal Standard Abstract believes to be reliable. However, Federal Standard Abstract has not independently verified or otherwise investigated all such information. Federal Standard Abstract does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of any such information and is not responsible for any errors or omissions in this newsletter.

While we try to update our readers on the news contained in this newsletter, we do not intend any information in this newsletter to be treated or considered as the most current expression of the law on any given point, and certain legal positions expressed in this newsletter may be, by passage of time or otherwise, superseded or incorrect.

Furthermore, Federal Standard Abstract does not warrant the accuracy or completeness of any references to any third party information nor does such reference constitute an endorsement or recommendation of such third party's products, services or informational content.